

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

January 20, 2000 LB 367

make these decisions about representation, you also should think in the future of the ability to tax and should that be also extended into the buffer zone. Because I think you'll find that there are a number of businesses around who have moved and all of a sudden annexation doesn't occur in those areas because there is sort of a tax-free zone and don't come our way because we're already here, and there's a variety of those things. The other issue is that your bill raises and that I don't know if it will be in the Government Committee or will be in Urban Affairs, but there are a number of cities, and with the census coming up, growth has occurred in several of our communities, particularly those cities, I think, of the primary class and those with a city manager form of government where they may be looking at expanding the representation of their boards from five to seven. There is some permissive language when you reach a certain population level, and then when you reach another population level it's mandatory that you have additional representation. The city ordinance laws or the city structure laws are old and they sometimes have very difficult results. One of them is, if you're going to expand from five members to seven members, that you have to do away with the entire board, you just wipe the slate clean. So it discourages communities, if they want to expand their representation, prior to being forced to, if they want to automatically expand their representation, they have to upset the apple cart, and people who are even in the middle of their terms go away, and you elect an entire new city council. For a number of reasons that's not good policy. I think...I know I've got a bill in this year to examine that. I appreciate your bill here. I wanted to bring that up on General File that those are all issues...we've not looked at city structure for a number of years, and it brings up a wide variety of tax questions and representation issues that I assume we'll all get to address. I plan to support your bill to Select File, but I do think that your offer to hold it for a little bit is a good one, because I think there are several other issues we'll want to examine and maybe not act on, but begin to form some policies on, particularly the area of taxation. With that, I would yield my time back.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Kristensen. Senator Schimek, there are no further lights on; do you wish to close on the advancement of LB 367?